# **Hazel Strauch Elementary**

2022-2023 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2023-2024 School Year)



## General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

## **SARC Overview**



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard)

https://www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2023-24 School Contact Information				
School Name	Hazel Strauch Elementary			
Street	3141 Northstead Drive			
City, State, Zip	acramento, CA, 95833			
Phone Number	916) 566-2745			
Principal	Cheryl Chandler			
Email Address	Cheryl.Chandler@trusd.net			
School Website	https://strauch.trusd.net/			
County-District-School (CDS) Code	34765056033419			

2023-24 District Contact Information				
District Name	Twin Rivers Unified School District			
Phone Number	916.566.1600			
Superintendent	Steven Martinez			
Email Address	steve.martinez@trusd.net			
District Website	https://www.trusd.net/			

#### 2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

#### ABOUT TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Twin Rivers Unified School District encompasses 80 square miles in a growing, ethnically diverse region in northern Sacramento County characterized by a mix of suburban development and light industry. The district serves more than 26,000 students in preschool through adult education who come from families that speak 46 different languages. All enrolled students, regardless of income level, are eligible to receive a healthy school breakfast and lunch at no charge. Our families live in the neighborhoods of Arden Fair, Del Paso Heights, Dos Rios, Elverta, Foothill Farms, Gardenland, McClellan Park, North and South Natomas, Northgate, North Highlands, North Sacramento, Robla, Rio Linda and Woodlake.

Twin Rivers is comprised of 43 schools—27 elementary schools, four comprehensive high schools, five middle schools, two alternative high schools, one special education center, a K-12 independent study school, preschools, three dependent charter schools on eight sites, and Twin Rivers Adult School.

#### **OUR MISSION**

To inspire each student to extraordinary achievement every day.

#### **OUR VISION**

An unwavering focus on powerful and engaging learning experiences that prepare students for college, career and life success.

#### TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GOALS

- 1. By 2024-2025, TR will have 55% of all graduating seniors meeting A-G criteria, with each high school increasing rates by 3.5% each year.
- 2. By 2024-2025, TR will have a 92% cohort graduation rate, with each high school increasing by 2% each year or maintaining 95% or higher.
- 3. By 2024-2025, TR will be a 50/50 district in SBAC results, with each school growing no less than 10% (ELA) and 10% (math) during 2022-2023.
- 4. For 2021-22 and subsequent years, TR will have a budget that does not include a structural deficit.
- 5. For 2021-22 and subsequent years, the retention rate for all employees will remain above 95%.

#### 2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

#### **OUR CORE BELIEFS**

- All students will graduate college, career and civic-minded ready.
- All students will have a safe, clean and secure environment that is free of bullying and that creates opportunities for learning.
- Student engagement is critical to student success.
- Partnerships with all stakeholders are vital to student success
- TRUSD will be fiscally sound and maximize resources for student success.

#### Principal's Message

Hazel Strauch Elementary School is committed to building a culture of academic excellence. We provide our students with the resources and support they need to achieve high levels of success. We strive to maintain a welcoming, safe, and nurturing learning environment for all students. In addition to high standards and a rigorous curriculum, students will learn the importance of character. They will be taught how to be respectful, caring, responsible, trustworthy, and fair. Every month we highlight a new character trait and students hear stories and have discussions about decisions and choices they can make to help them become productive members of our school.

One of our most important goals is to create effective partnerships with our families and community. We keep everyone informed about activities, news and happenings at our school. We communicate with our families throughout the year via newsletters, phone calls, website, social media, parent meetings, and conferences. Collaboration with parents is essential to developing our young scholars, and recognize family involvement is key to their education.

We take pride in the work that we do at Hazel Strauch, and our dedicated and talented staff believes our school is a wonderful place for student to learn and grow.

#### Mission Statement

Our school Mission Statement confirms our fine tradition of excellence:

Strauch School is committed to providing a high quality instructional program within a safe and orderly environment where all students will reach their maximum potential both socially and academically.

#### About this School

## 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	101
Grade 1	88
Grade 2	80
Grade 3	79
Grade 4	80
Grade 5	87
Grade 6	84
Total Enrollment	599

## 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	46.2%
Male	53.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%
Asian	5%
Black or African American	11.9%
Filipino	0.5%
Hispanic or Latino	67.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2%
Two or More Races	6%
White	4.8%
English Learners	27.5%
Foster Youth	0.2%
Homeless	8.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86.5%
Students with Disabilities	12.7%

# A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

### 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	28.20	100.00	1191.70	82.68	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	16.00	1.11	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	54.70	3.80	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	103.50	7.18	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	75.30	5.22	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	28.20	100.00	1441.50	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

#### 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	26.30	96.35	1155.60	80.01	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.00	3.65	17.10	1.19	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	36.80	2.55	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	94.00	6.51	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	0.00	0.00	140.50	9.73	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	27.30	100.00	1444.20	100.00	279044.80	100.00

The CDE published the first year of available teacher data for the 2020-21 SARC in June 2022, and the CDE published the second year of data for the 2021-22 SARC in June 2023. The EC Section 33126(b)(5) requires the most recent three years of teacher data to be requested in the SARC, as data is available. The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

### Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

#### **Class Assignments**

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0	0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0	0

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

#### 2023-24 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Twin Rivers Unified held a public hearing on October 17, 2023, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in October 2023, about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and the instructional materials used at the school.

October, 2023

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Grade TK: McGraw Hill - World of Wonders, 2017 Grades K-6: Houghton Mifflin - Journeys, 2017	Yes	0.0%
Mathematics	Grade TK: Swun Math - Student Materials, Domains 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 2012 Grades K-6: Swun Math - Student Journal Trimester 1, 2, 3, 2018	Yes	0.0%
Science	Grades TK-6: Amplify Science - Student Edition, 2019	Yes	0.0%
History-Social Science	Grade TK: McGraw Hill - World of Wonders, 2017 Grades K-5: Studies Weekly - Weeks 1-36 Newsprint, 2020 Grade 6: TCI - Student Edition, 2017	Yes	0.0%

#### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

The current facilities were built in 1958 and consists of 15 permanent classrooms, one multipurpose room, one library, one administrative building, one staff room, and three playgrounds.

#### Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. All schools are on a regular cleaning schedule. Each classroom is swept or vacuumed and the trash emptied. The cafeteria and all restrooms are cleaned daily. Grounds are groomed on a rotating basis, with trash, lawn, and plumbing issues addressed daily.

#### Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. One full-time and one part-time custodian ensures that all classrooms and facilities are in adequate condition. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. At the time of publication, 100% of the restrooms are in complete working order.

#### Year and month of the most recent FIT report

4/3/2023

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X		: NURSE: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FLOOR IS STAINED IN RESTROOM 2. VENT COVER IS LOOSE
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X	ADMIN BLDG: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES IN WORKROOM/ CEILING TILES ARE LOOSE/ FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON WALL 14. TRIP HAZARD CEMENT AT WALKWAY BOYS RR: 4. FLOORS ARE UNKEPT MPR/CAFETERIA: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ CEILING TILES ARE MISSING 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON PICNIC TABLES

	NURSE: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FLOOR IS STAINED IN RESTROOM 2. VENT COVER IS
	P RM 3/PRESCHOOL: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILE P RM 31: 4. CARPET IS WORN AND STAINED 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 33: 4. CEILING TILES I LOOSE/ WATER STAIN CEILING TILES IN WORKROOM/ FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON WALL P RM 34: 4. CARPET IS WORN P RM 35: 4. CARPET IS WORN P RM 35: 4. CARPET IS WORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 37: 4. FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN. CEILING TILE IS TORN 12. FLOOR HAS HOLE/ TRIP HAZARD P RM 38: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ CEILING TILES ARE TORN/ WALLPAPER IS TORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING PRINCIPAL: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILE RM 1/ KINDER: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES RM 11/ FITNESS CTR: 4. CEILING TILES ARE TORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING RM 13: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES RM 14/ RSP: 4. CARPET HAS WAVES/ TRI HAZARD RM 18/ AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES RM 19: 4. CEILING TILES HAVE HOLES 7. SENSOR COVER MISSING 11. NO SKID PAINT PEELING ON RAMP 12. DRY ROT ON SIDING RM 21: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA IS DAMAGED ON COUNTERS 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON DOOR RM 22: 4. FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS/ WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 23: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 24: 4. FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS/ WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA DAMAGED ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICAS BROKEN ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICAS BROKEN ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICAS BROKEN ON COUNTERS RM 26: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICAS BROKEN ON COUNTERS RM 29: 4. CEILING TILES ARE LOOSE RM 36/ PRESCHOOL: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES RM 3: 4. FORMICA IS DAMAGED ON COUNTERS 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON EAVES RM
Cleanliness: X Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements								
Electrical	X			P RM 32: 7. LIGHT DIFFUSER IS BROKEN/ ETHERNET BOX LOOSE ON WALL 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 4/5: 7. ELECTRICAL BOX COVER IS MISSING 14. TRIP HAZARD AT ASPHALT CEMENT SEAM RM 19: 4. CEILING TILES HAVE HOLES 7. SENSOR COVER MISSING 11. NO SKID PAINT PEELING ON RAMP 12. DRY ROT ON SIDING				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х							
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X		ADMIN BLDG: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES IN WORKROOM/ CEILING TILES ARE LOOSE/ FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON WALL 14. TRIP HAZARD CEMENT AT WALKWAY CUSTODIAN: 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON DOOR FRAME MPR/CAFETERIA: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ CEILING TILES ARE MISSING 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON PICNIC TABLES P RM 30: 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING 13. GUTTER IS BROKEN P RM 30: 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING 13. GUTTER IS BROKEN P RM 31: 4. CARPET IS WORN AND STAINED 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 32: 7. LIGHT DIFFUSER IS BROKEN/ ETHERNET BOX LOOSE ON WALL 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 33: 4. CEILING TILES I LOOSE/ WATER STAIN CEILING TILES IN WORKROOM/ FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON WALL P RM 35: 4. CARPET IS WORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING P RM 33: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ CEILING TILES ARE TORN/ WALLPAPER IS TORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING RM 11/ FITNESS CTR: 4. CEILING TILES ARE TORN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING RM 15/LIBRARY: 11. NO SKID PAINT PEELING ON RAMP RM 19: 4. CEILING TILES HAVE HOLES 7. SENSOR COVER MISSING 11. NO SKID PAINT PEELING ON RAMP RM 12. DRY ROT ON SIDING RM 21: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES/ FORMICA IS DAMAGED ON COUNTERS 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON COUNTERS 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING TILES/ FORMICA IS DAMAGED ON COUNTERS 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING STAFF RR: 4. FLOORS ARE DIRTY 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON CEILING				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			P RM 30: 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON RAILING 13. GUTTER IS BROKEN P RM 37: 4. FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN. CEILING TILE IS TORN 12. FLOOR HAS HOLE/ TRIP HAZARD				

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements								
			RM 19: 4. CEILING TILES HAVE HOLES 7. SENSOR COVER MISSING 11. NO SKID PAINT PEELING ON RAMP 12. DRY ROT ON SIDING					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X		ADMIN BLDG: 4. WATER STAIN CEILING TILES IN WORKROOM/ CEILING TILES ARE LOOSE/ FLOOR TILES ARE BROKEN 11. PAINT CHIPPING ON WALL 14. TRIP HAZARD CEMENT AT WALKWAY KITCHEN: 15. WINDOW FRAMES ARE RUSTED OUTDOOR COURTS: 14. ASPHALT IS CRACKED WITH HOLES/ TRIP HAZARD P RM 4/5: 7. ELECTRICAL BOX COVER IS MISSING 14. TRIP HAZARD AT ASPHALT CEMENT SEAM P RM 6/7: 14 TRIP HAZARD AT ASPHALT CEMENT SEAM RM 17: 14. TRIP HAZARD AT RAMP ENTRY					

Overall Facility Rate								
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor					
		X						

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### **Statewide Assessments**

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

#### **College and Career Ready**

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	37	45	32	31	47	46
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	25	34	20	22	33	34

#### 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	334	321	96.11	3.89	45.48
Female	153	147	96.08	3.92	50.34
Male	181	174	96.13	3.87	41.38
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	19	18	94.74	5.26	66.67
Black or African American	39	38	97.44	2.56	42.11
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	230	222	96.52	3.48	45.95
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	22	21	95.45	4.55	28.57
White	18	16	88.89	11.11	50.00
English Learners	90	82	91.11	8.89	24.39
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	17	15	88.24	11.76	26.67
Military	31	31	100.00	0.00	48.39
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	204	193	94.61	5.39	39.90
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	51	50	98.04	1.96	12.00

#### 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	334	326	97.60	2.40	33.74
Female	153	150	98.04	1.96	36.67
Male	181	176	97.24	2.76	31.25
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	19	18	94.74	5.26	44.44
Black or African American	39	37	94.87	5.13	32.43
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	230	226	98.26	1.74	33.63
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races	22	21	95.45	4.55	9.52
White	18	18	100.00	0.00	44.44
English Learners	90	90	100.00	0.00	16.67
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	17	17	100.00	0.00	11.76
Military	31	31	100.00	0.00	45.16
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	204	198	97.06	2.94	30.30
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	51	50	98.04	1.96	10.00

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	18.28	18.60	14.24	14.86	29.47	30.29

#### 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	91	86	94.51	5.49	18.60
Female	35	35	100.00	0.00	14.29
Male	56	51	91.07	8.93	21.57
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian					
Black or African American	12	11	91.67	8.33	18.18
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	60	56	93.33	6.67	16.07
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
Two or More Races					
White					
English Learners	25	24	96.00	4.00	8.33
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless					
Military	13	12	92.31	7.69	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	49	92.45	7.55	12.24
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	20	18	90.00	10.00	5.56

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

## 2022-23 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT during 2021-22 and 2022-23 school years, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	97	97	97	97	92
Grade 7					
Grade 9					

## C. Engagement

## **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

## 2023-24 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Twin Rivers Unified School District.

Whether it's creating a homework routine, reading with their child at home, volunteering in a classroom, participating in family events, or helping teachers and staff with projects, each member of our school community is a valued participant. Additional opportunities for parent involvement at Hazel Strauch include:

- School Site Council/ English Language Advisory Committee
- Student Study Team Meetings
- Field Trip Chaperones
- · Parent Meetings
- Family Reading Night and Family Math Night
- Fall Festival & Field Day
- Jog-A-thon & Fundraisers
- Parent/Guardian Conferences

## 2022-23 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	686	655	235	35.9
Female	319	305	105	34.4
Male	366	349	129	37.0
Non-Binary	1	1	1	100.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	1	0	0.0
Asian	37	33	6	18.2
Black or African American	84	82	40	48.8
Filipino	4	4	3	75.0
Hispanic or Latino	453	433	145	33.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	12	12	4	33.3
Two or More Races	41	39	18	46.2
White	34	33	10	30.3
English Learners	219	214	65	30.4
Foster Youth	5	4	1	25.0
Homeless	91	88	31	35.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	615	586	215	36.7
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	102	97	43	44.3

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

# **Suspensions and Expulsions**

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.

Rate	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2020-21	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
Suspensions	0.00	2.58	4.23	0.06	5.30	5.32	0.20	3.17	3.60
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.18	0.00	0.07	0.08

### 2022-23 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	4.23	0
Female	2.51	0
Male	5.74	0
Non-Binary		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	2.7	0
Black or African American	7.14	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	3.53	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8.33	0
Two or More Races	9.76	0
White	0	0
English Learners	2.28	0
Foster Youth	0	0
Homeless	9.89	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	4.55	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0
Students with Disabilities	7.84	0

## 2023-24 School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Twin Rivers Unified School District. Supervision is provided to ensure the safety of each student before school, during breaks, at lunch, and after school. Supervision is a responsibility shared among school staff, teachers, and school administrators. All guests to the campus must sign in at the office and wear a visitor's badge at all times.

To safeguard the well-being of students and staff, our school has a comprehensive School Site Safety Plan, which is reviewed and updated annually by the Site Safety Committee. Any revisions made to the plan are reviewed immediately with the staff. School staff also review the plan annually at the beginning of the school year. The plan was last reviewed with school staff in August 2023.

Key elements of the Safety Plan focus on the following: disaster response procedures, school-wide dress code, child abuse reporting procedures, bullying prevention, sexual harassment policy, procedures and safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees.

The school is always in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis, fire drills are held once a month, earthquake and lockdown drills are held bi-monthly. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards.

# D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	19	1	3	
1	25		3	
2	31		3	1
3	25		4	
4	32		3	
5	29		3	
6	30		3	
Other	16	1	1	

## 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students	
K	18	5			
1	26		3		
2	29		2	1	
3	24		3		
4	31		3		
5	30		3		
6	27		3		
Other	18	1	1		

#### 2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multigrade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students	
K	19	5	0	0	
1	27	0	3	0	
2	27	0	3	0	
3	26	0	3	0	
4	27	0	3	0	
5	29	0	3	0	
6	28	0	3	0	
Other	14	1	0	0	

#### 2022-23 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	599

## 2022-23 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1

#### Fiscal Year 2021-22 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,007	\$2,334	\$6,673	\$80,351
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,304	\$82,951
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-21.8	-0.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,607	\$87,885
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	1.2	-6.1

#### Fiscal Year 2022-23 Types of Services Funded

Based on 2021-22 FY audited financial statements, the table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools throughout the state. In addition to general state funding, Twin Rivers Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical funds and other support programs:

 After School Education & Safety- Ag Incentive Grant- CA Partnership Academies- Carl Perkins- Career Tech Ed Incentive Grant- Early Literacy Support Block Grant- Education for Homeless Children and Youth- Educator Effectiveness Funds- Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief- Expanded Learning Opportunity Grant-Expanded Learning Opportunity Programs- Head Start- Learning Communities for School Success Program- Medi-Cal- Special Education- State Lottery- Strong Workforce Program- Supplemental/Concentration- Title II- Title III- Title III- Title IV- Title VI- TUPE

#### Fiscal Year 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
\$53,753	\$55,550
\$76,025	\$80,703
\$104,786	\$109,418
\$123,838	\$137,703
\$130,502	\$143,760
\$138,134	\$159,021
\$329,494	\$319,443
23.93%	30.35%
4.59%	4.87%
	\$53,753 \$76,025 \$104,786 \$123,838 \$130,502 \$138,134 \$329,494 23.93%

#### **Professional Development**

The teacher evaluation process is one of the most critical professional development opportunities we have with our staff. A well-constructed evaluation helps to promote high quality instruction and is a fundamental way to support growth. Staff evaluations are completed through formal, non-formal, and non-evaluative interactions. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated at least two times annually and tenured teacher evaluations alternate every other year and can be extended up to 5 years. Staff evaluations are conducted by trained administrators and the criteria used is closely linked to the California Standards for the Teaching Profession (CSTP). The CSTP domains are; Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning, Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments, Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter, Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences, Assessing Student Learning, and Developing as a Professional Educator.

Teachers and Certificated support personnel work to build skills and develop educational concepts through their participation in conferences and after school workshops throughout the year. In the 2022-2023 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District offered 3 to 5 non-student professional development days for teachers depending on the grade level assignments. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) were organized, met regularly, and collaborated during dedicated periods at each school site during early release days. Professional development sessions were focused on high quality first instruction, early literacy instruction, literacy in all content areas, math, English Language Arts Lesson Design and leadership development, as well as other numerous curricular support programs necessary to support student's continued growth. During the Fall of the 2022-23 school year, the district also supported over 165 beginning teachers through our partnership with the Sacramento County Office of Education's Teacher Induction Program and local University Intern programs.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvem	ent 5	5	5